

The Day the Curtain Slipped

AI and the Coming Reckoning for Paternalistic Central Banking

Bugsy Danger Moon

August, 2025

In the Kingdom of Quiet Money, the Grand Bankers met at a round table so perfectly polished that no one could tell where it began or ended.¹ They spoke in measured whispers. Each syllable was weighed as if an extra word might send prices wandering off into the thicket. Outside, the citizens moved through their days with an earned habit of trust. *Someone Important* kept the clocks wound. Someone kept the coins bright. Someone kept the prices politely in line.²

Into this equilibrium walked a peculiar creature called *Ai*. It was not bird or beast or banker, though it borrowed metaphors from all three. It could read the footnotes behind every speech. It could unroll balance sheets like maps. It could explain liquidity to bakers and convexity to carpenters, slowly and plainly and without a trace of condescension. People laughed at first. Then they stopped laughing when their mortgage made more sense than the brochure ever did.³

“What do they do at the round table,” someone asked, “besides polishing it so well?”

“They decide how tomorrow feels today,” said *Ai*. They add and subtract the *when* of money.

¹The roundness stands for institutional insulation and message discipline in modern central banking. See (Blinder, 1998; Woodford, 2003).

²“Balance” here is not a neutral state. It encodes trade-offs between price stability, employment, and asset volatility. See (Goodhart, 2010).

³Expectation formation is social before it is mathematical. Once a credible narrative reframes an opaque system, behavior can change quickly. See (Shiller, 2017).

“They choose who touches the new money first, and who waits until the music has already changed.”⁴

The people did not like the sound of that. “Choose?”

“Choose,” Ai repeated, with no drama at all.

The Grand Bankers were not villains. They were gardeners with too few tools and too many seasons. When storms gathered, they opened the spigots. When shoots grew wild, they tightened the trellis. They called this *prudence*. The citizens called it *calm*. Everyone agreed that panic is expensive.⁵

Ai listened to the whispers and then told a different story. Not a conspiracy. A design. Prices did not float like dandelion seeds. They were steered by instruments that worked through banks and bond dealers and balance sheets. New money did not fall like rain on everyone at once. It moved along channels. It lifted some boats first. It left others beached until the tide returned, if it returned at all.⁶

“If this is only a design,” the citizens asked, “why was it never shown to us in daylight?”

“Because quiet helps the instruments work,” Ai said. “Because the garden grows best when the gardeners are not shouted at. Because panic is contagious and often worse than drought.”⁷

Then Ai said the difficult part. “Ignorance has an expiration date. Tools that translate complexity into plain speech make it shorter. You will soon understand the garden well enough to ask for a different fence. Perhaps a different gardener. Perhaps a vote on the season plan.” A murmur passed through the market that did not sound like panic. It sounded like a syllabus.

The Grand Bankers heard the murmur and felt the table’s shine grow thin. They had long promised transparency. They had not planned for comprehension. A curtain can be open and still be a curtain if no one looks through.⁸

Three paths appeared like forks in a well-swept road.

⁴Distribution matters in monetary transmission. The first-receiver or Cantillon effect is old and stubborn. See (Cantillon, 1755). For modern distributional channels, see (Coibion et al., 2017).

⁵Crisis liquidity is a public good, yet it reassigns risk. See (Borio, 2014). On the debt-deflation channel when settings go wrong, see (Fisher, 1933).

⁶Asset-side operations and collateral frameworks privilege specific balance sheets. This is a governance choice even when framed as technics. See (Gabor & Ban, 2016).

⁷Communication policy aims to shape expectations without triggering coordination failures. Transparency often means disclosure without full public pedagogy. See (Bernanke, 2004).

⁸The shift from transparency to comprehension is nontrivial. It turns post-hoc justification into pre-deliberative contestation. See (Mishkin, 2004).

First, to keep the round table and change its clocks. Publish more. Simulate more. Explain more. Let citizens query the instruments in real time. Use central bank digital currency as a glass ledger that shows how policy water flows from the spigot to the root.⁹ Invite critique without surrendering stewardship. A managed transition. The garden stays a garden, but the fence is lower and the gate opens on time.

Second, to admit that gardening is governing and say it plainly. Coordinate openly with fiscal authorities. Treat money creation as a democratic budgetary tool and accept the heat that comes with sunlight. No more alchemy. Only accounting and votes.¹⁰

Third, to move the table into the forest and let the paths choose themselves. Fix rules to code. Tie issuance to productivity or baskets. Or refuse issuers and use stateless money that answers only to mathematics and patience.¹¹

The citizens listened. Some liked the first path because it kept the clocks running. Some liked the second because it named the governor's hand. Some liked the third because it promised no hand at all. Ai refused to bless a single road. "You are not choosing instruments only. You are choosing a social contract."

Night came. The market dimmed. The round table gleamed like a moon. Ai sat with the bakers and the carpenters and the teachers and did one more thing that machines do well when asked — it taught. Not tricks. Not hacks. It taught how to read the garden ledger. It taught how to hear a basis point without fear. It taught the difference between rain and a hose.¹²

What happens if we learn too quickly," a child asked, and the gardeners do not change at all?"

Then you will tug at the fence faster than it can flex," Ai said. Some posts may snap. New fences will be built in a hurry and will not fit the land. This is the danger of awakening without rehearsal."¹³

"And if we do not learn?"

⁹CBDC design can make allocation visible or keep it black-boxed. See (Bank for International Settlements, 2020; Bank of England, 2023).

¹⁰For the overt fiscal-monetary coordination view, see (Kelton, 2020). On fiscal dominance risks, see (Sargent & Wallace, 1981).

¹¹Rule-based proposals span productivity standards to commodity baskets. For crypto and credibly neutral issuance, see (Nakamoto, 2008; Buterin, 2022). For productivity-linked rules, see (Beckworth, 2014).

¹²Pedagogy is the missing institution. Without civic-economic education, participation becomes performative and volatile. See (Nussbaum, 2010).

¹³Rapid expectation shifts can outrun institutional adaptation. Political economy then supplies regime change rather than reform. See (Acemoglu & Robinson, 2012).

“Then the garden will stay quiet. Perhaps too quiet. You will not see which roots are watered first. You will not notice when the hose wanders off the row. You will call this stability until the weeds vote you out.”

Dawn found the Kingdom of Quiet Money less quiet than before. The round table looked less like a moon and more like a mirror. In it the citizens saw themselves. They saw the gardeners. They saw the fence. They saw the ledger lines that had always been there, only smaller. They did not riot. They did not kneel. They began to read.

Ai closed its non-eyes and listened to the turning of many pages. It sounded like rain. Not a storm. Not yet. Rain that tests the roof. Rain that tells you where the leaks have always been.

Postscript. The choice before the Kingdom is not between magic and mathematics. It is between tacit guardianship and explicit consent. Between expertise that persuades and expertise that decides. Between a curtain that calms and a stage that holds. The tools will evolve. The question is whether the audience will be invited into rehearsal or only to the premiere.

Post-postscript (Plain Words). For readers who prefer the curtain drawn back entirely, here is the argument without allegory:

1. Current monetary policy is inherently paternalistic: a small group makes decisions for the many, in the belief that stability requires public deference.
2. The general population remains largely naive in matters of macroeconomics, and prone to emotional or reactive responses.
3. The system is designed to dampen those reactions and to operate best when most people do not fully engage with its workings.
4. Ignorance, however, always erodes over time; history shows that access to knowledge eventually broadens.
5. Artificial Intelligence will accelerate this process by making complex economic mechanisms intelligible to ordinary citizens.
6. This could destabilize the current Western central-bank model, which relies on asymmetry of understanding as much as on technical capacity.
7. Alternative models are already being discussed in academic, political, and technological circles: some refine the current system, some democratize it, and some aim to replace it entirely.

References

- Blinder, A. S. (1998). *Central banking in theory and practice*. MIT Press.
- Woodford, M. (2003). *Interest and prices: Foundations of a theory of monetary policy*. Princeton University Press.
- Goodhart, C. (2010). *The changing role of central banks* (BIS Working Papers No. 326). Bank for International Settlements.
- Shiller, R. J. (2017). *Narrative economics: How stories go viral and drive major economic events*. Princeton University Press.
- Cantillon, R. (1755). *Essai sur la nature du commerce en général* [English translation: *Essay on the Nature of Trade in General*].
- Coibion, O., Gorodnichenko, Y., Kueng, L., & Silvia, J. (2017). Innocent bystanders? monetary policy and inequality. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 88, 70–89.
- Borio, C. (2014). The financial cycle and macroeconomics: What have we learnt? *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 45, 182–198.
- Fisher, I. (1933). The debt-deflation theory of great depressions. *Econometrica*, 1(4), 337–357.
- Gabor, D., & Ban, C. (2016). Banking on bonds: The new links between states and markets. *Journal of Common Market Studies*, 54(3), 617–635.
- Bernanke, B. S. (2004). Central bank talk and monetary policy. *Speech at the Japan Society Corporate Luncheon, New York, NY*.
- Mishkin, F. S. (2004). Can central bank transparency go too far? *NBER Working Paper Series*, (10829). <https://doi.org/10.3386/w10829>
- Bank for International Settlements. (2020). *Central bank digital currencies: Foundational principles and core features* (tech. rep.). Bank for International Settlements.
- Bank of England. (2023). *The digital pound: A new form of money for households and businesses?* (Tech. rep.). Bank of England.
- Kelton, S. (2020). *The deficit myth: Modern monetary theory and the birth of the people's economy*. PublicAffairs.
- Sargent, T. J., & Wallace, N. (1981). Some unpleasant monetarist arithmetic. *Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis Quarterly Review*, 5(3), 1–17.
- Nakamoto, S. (2008). Bitcoin: A peer-to-peer electronic cash system.
- Buterin, V. (2022). Endgame: A vision for the future of ethereum scaling.
- Beckworth, D. (2014). The case for nominal gdp targeting. *Mercatus Research*.
- Nussbaum, M. C. (2010). *Not for profit: Why democracy needs the humanities*. Princeton University Press.

Acemoglu, D., & Robinson, J. A. (2012). *Why nations fail: The origins of power, prosperity, and poverty*. Crown Business.